Effects of Methoxy Substituents on the Glutathione Peroxidase-like Activity of Cyclic Seleninate Esters

David J. Press, Nicole M. R. McNeil, Miranda Hambrook, and Thomas G. Back*

Department of Chemistry, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, Alberta, Cana[da](#page-5-0) T2N 1N4

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [Cyclic selenin](#page-5-0)ate esters function as mimetics of the antioxidant enzyme glutathione peroxidase and catalyze the reduction of hydrogen peroxide with a stoichiometric thiol. While a single electron-donating methoxy substituent para to the selenium atom enhances the catalytic activity, m-methoxy groups have little effect and o-methoxy substituents suppress activity. The effects of multiple methoxy groups are not cumulative. This behavior can be rationalized by opposing

mesomeric and steric effects. Oxidation of the product disulfide via its thiolsulfinate was also observed.

G lutathione peroxidase $(GPx)^{1,2}$ plays a vital antioxidant role in human physiology. It consists of a family of seven is expected to a family of seven isozymes, five of which contain t[he](#page-5-0) element selenium in the form of selenocysteine residues.³ The structure of bovine erythrocyte GPx was first elucidated by Epp, Ladenstein, and Wendel, 4 who established that it [co](#page-5-0)nsists of a homotetrameric structure, in which each of the four subunits contains a redoxactive s[el](#page-6-0)enocysteine moiety. GPx functions by catalyzing the reduction of hydrogen peroxide or lipid peroxides with the tripeptide thiol glutathione. This mitigates the contribution of peroxides and related reactive oxygen species (ROS) to the deleterious effects of oxidative stress. 3 The latter has, in turn, been implicated in diverse disorders and disease states, including inflammation, mutagenesis [a](#page-5-0)nd cancer, neurodegeneration and dementia, cardiovascular disease, and possibly the aging process.⁵ Oxidative stress plays an especially detrimental role during ischemic reperfusion of heart attack and stroke patients, wh[er](#page-6-0)e ROS produced by neutrophils result in significant cardiovascular and neurological damage.⁶ A catalytic cycle for GPx was first proposed by Ganther and Kraus, 7 where a selenocysteine selenol group serves to reduce t[he](#page-6-0) peroxide. The resulting selenenic acid is then recycled back to the [s](#page-6-0)elenol through sequential reaction with two molecules of glutathione via the corresponding selenenyl sulfide. Since the protective effect of GPx can be overwhelmed by conditions of exceptionally high oxidative stress, such as during ischemic reperfusion, considerable effort has been expended on the discovery of small molecule mimetics of the selenoenzyme.^{5a,8} Two compounds, ebselen⁹ and ALT 2074,^{6g,10} reached phase III and II clinical trials, respectively, for the treatment of vario[us](#page-6-0) disorders related to oxida[ti](#page-6-0)ve stress.

 $We, ¹¹$ and Singh et al., $¹²$ have previously studied cyclic</sup> seleninate esters such as 1 as potential GPx mimetics. The cataly[tic](#page-6-0) cycle we propos[ed](#page-6-0) for this class of compounds, employing benzyl thiol as a surrogate for glutathione and hydrogen peroxide as the oxidant, is shown in Scheme 1 and proceeds via the thiolseleninate 2 and selenenic acid 3. We also

Scheme 1

observed that the formation of selenenyl sulfides such as $4 (R =$ H) dominates at high thiol:peroxide ratios and results in a competing deactivation pathway, where the corresponding selenenyl sulfide is relatively inert to further oxidation.^{11b,c,e} Computational studies on a simpler aliphatic analogue of 1 by Bayse and Ortwine¹³ indicated that a selenurane interm[ediate](#page-6-0) analogous to 5 is formed during the initial thiolysis step in Scheme 1, resulti[ng](#page-6-0) in the formation of 2. Moreover, they confirmed that the selenenate ester corresponding to 6 is a viable intermediate during the reconversion of the selenenic acid analogous to 3 back to its initial cyclic seleninate ester. During our earlier investigations, we also observed that electron-donating groups para to the selenium atom enhanced the catalytic activity of seleninates 1, whereas electron-

Received: July 24, 2014 Published: September 8, 2014 withdrawing groups suppressed it. A Hammett plot of seleninate esters 1 provided the reaction constant $\sigma = -0.45$, consistent with a rate-determining step where the transition state is associated with an increase in positive charge that can be stabilized mesomerically by electron-donating substituents, as in the step where $\mathcal{S}(II)$ in 3 (or 6) is oxidized to $\mathcal{S}(IV)$ in 1. 11d

In view of the salutary effect of the p-methoxy substituent of 1b[, w](#page-6-0)e embarked on a more extensive study of the effects of methoxy substituents, including their incorporation at the ortho and meta positions relative to the selenium center, as well as the possibility of cumulative effects in di- and trimethoxysubstituted analogues. Toward this objective, we prepared the novel cyclic seleninate esters 7−11 (Chart 1) and measured their catalytic activity by means of an in vitro assay reported previously.¹¹ The known compounds $1a^{11c,12a}$ and $1b^{11d}$ were included for comparison.

Methoxy groups ortho and para to the selenium atom might be expected to enhance catalytic activity through mesomeric electron donation, which generally outweighs their electronwithdrawing inductive effects, while o-methoxy substituents could also affect rates through steric or coordination effects. On the other hand, meta-substituted methoxy groups cannot interact directly with the selenium atom through resonance, but can retain their electron-withdrawing inductive effects, thereby possibly reducing catalytic activity.¹⁴ Compounds 7-11 were made to test the relative contributions of these effects. In related work, Mugesh and co-workers s[tud](#page-6-0)ied ebselen, $9n$ as well as diaryl diselenides¹⁵ containing methoxy groups present at either the ortho or para position relative to the sele[niu](#page-6-0)m atom. In the diselenides, [p](#page-6-0)-methoxy groups had little effect on activity when benzenethiol was used as the stoichiometric reductant, whereas o-methoxy substituents improved catalytic activity. The latter effect was attributed to steric suppression of unproductive thiol exchange reactions at selenium that compete with thiol attack at the sulfur atom in the corresponding selenenyl sulfides, which were postulated as intermediates. Wirth¹⁶ also reported the GPx activity of a series of diaryl diselenides, where a p-methoxy substituent improved GPx-like activit[y,](#page-6-0) whereas o-hydroxymethyl or o-methoxymethyl substituents suppressed it. Coordination effects can also play a promotive role when O- or N-containing ortho substituents are present in various types of organoselenium compounds.^{9n,12,17}

The synthesis of m - and o -monomethoxy seleninate esters 7−8 via the corresponding diselenides 14 and 15 is sh[own in](#page-6-0) Scheme 2. The introduction of selenium was accomplished by ortho-metalation of p - or *m*-anisaldehyde via the method of Comins and Brown, 18 followed by reaction with elemental selenium and exposure to air to afford diselenides 12 and 13, respectively. It prov[ed](#page-6-0) more expedient to reduce the crude

products directly with lithium aluminum hydride, again followed by aerial oxidation, to afford the desired hydroxymethyl diselenides 14 and 15. Diselenides 18 and 19 were prepared more efficiently by transmetalation of the corresponding 2-bromobenzyl alcohols 16 and 17, respectively, while direct transmetalation and selenization of bromide 24 required prior protection as the methoxymethyl (MOM) ether 25.

Direct oxidation of diselenides 14, 15, 18, and 19 to the desired cyclic seleninate esters 7−10 with hydrogen peroxide afforded relatively low yields of impure products. However, the conversion of the diselenides to the easily purified allyl selenides 20−23, followed by one-pot oxidation with excess hydrogen peroxide, [2,3]sigmatropic rearrangement, and further oxidation,¹¹ afforded the desired products 7−10, respectively. Diselenide 26 was obtained and treated similarly, except that deprot[ect](#page-6-0)ion of the MOM group was effected prior to oxidation to the cyclic seleninate ester 11.

It is worth noting that the cyclic seleninate esters all showed nonequivalent methylene proton signals because of the presence of the chiral selenium atom. In contrast, the related <u>.</u>
spirodioxyselenuranes **29**,^{11c,d,19} which are also chiral, displayed the expected AB quartets at low temperature, which coalesced to singlets at ca. room te[mperatu](#page-6-0)re and, unexpectedly, split into new AB quartets upon further heating, as a result of temperature-dependent chemical shifts of the exo and endo protons.20,21

The catalytic activities of compounds 1a, 1b, and 7−11 were then measured in our previously described assay, $11,22$ in which benzyl thiol was oxidized with excess hydrogen peroxide in the presence of 10 mol % of the catalyst. The formati[on of](#page-6-0) dibenzyl disulfide was monitored by HPLC, and the resulting $t_{1/2}$ values are shown in Table 1. Kinetic plots of disulfide formation vs

Table 1. Catalytic Activity of Methoxy-Substituted Cyclic Seleninate Esters

entry	compound	MeO substituent(s) ^a	$t_{1/2}$ (h)
1	1a	none	53
2	1b	p	38
3		m	50
4	8	0	70
5	9	m,p	49
6	10	o, p	55
	11	o,m,p	45
		^a Indicated position is relative to the Se atom; m refers to that meta	

position that is also *para* to the $CH₂O$ substituent.

time for catalysts 7−11 in the table are provided in the Supporting Information. Similar data for 1a and 1b were reported previously.11d A control reaction with no catalyst produced a $t_{1/2}$ of >170 h under the same conditions.

[It](#page-5-0) [can](#page-5-0) [be](#page-5-0) [seen](#page-5-0) [th](#page-6-0)[at](#page-5-0) the introduction of a p -methoxy substituent into the unsubstituted seleninate ester 1a improved the catalytic activity significantly in 1b (entries 1 and 2), which can be attributed to the electron-donating mesomeric effect of the p-methoxy group that stabilizes the developing positive charge on the selenium atom during its oxidation from $Se(II)$ to Se(IV) in the rate-determining step. On the other hand, the m -methoxy substituent of 7 in entry 3, where such a mesomeric effect is precluded, had almost no effect upon catalytic activity. Despite the possible resonance interaction between the omethoxy group and the selenium atom in 8 (entry 4), this derivative displayed considerably attenuated activity, suggesting that the mesomeric effect is overwhelmed by steric inhibition of the process. This behavior is in contrast to that observed by Mugesh et al. 15 in the case of substituted diaryl diselenides, where o-methoxy substituents enhanced reactivity. In order to determine wh[eth](#page-6-0)er the effect of additional methoxy substituents would be additive to the promotive effect of the p -methoxy substituent in 1b, we also tested the disubstituted seleninates 9 and 10 (entries 5 and 6), as well as the trisubstituted derivate 11 (entry 7). The m, p -disubstituted and o, m, p -trisubstituted compounds (entries 5 and 7) proved slightly superior to the unsubstituted derivative 1a (entry 1), whereas the o, p dimethoxy product (entry 6) had essentially the same catalytic activity as 1a. Surprisingly, however, seleninates 9−11 all showed decreased catalytic activity compared to the pmonomethoxy compound 1b (entry 2). Furthermore, in the case of 10, the formation of the disulfide essentially stopped at

ca. 50% completion, 23 followed by a significant decrease in its concentration. This behavior was attributed to the accumulation of the corre[spo](#page-6-0)nding selenenyl sulfide 30, which was identified while monitoring the reaction by HPLC.²⁴ An authentic sample of 30 was prepared by thiolysis of the seleninate 10 in the absence of hydrogen peroxide (Sch[em](#page-6-0)e 3)

in order to confirm this assignment. Selenenyl sulfide 30 was then independently subjected to the assay of its catalytic activity under the usual conditions, providing a $t_{1/2}$ value of 69 h, thus confirming that it is a poorer catalyst than 10 itself.²⁵ The slow rates of disulfide formation with the o-substituted catalysts 8 and 10 may, therefore, be due to steric suppre[ssio](#page-6-0)n of the normally rate-limiting oxidation of species corresponding to 3 and 6 in Scheme 1. This results in increased formation of the corresponding less reactive selenenyl sulfides, which then slowly re-enter th[e](#page-0-0) catalytic cycle by disproportionation to the corresponding diselenides and disulfides, with reoxidation of the former back to the cyclic seleninates, or by oxidation to the corresponding thiolseleninates (analogous to 2 in Scheme 1) and cyclization to regenerate 8 or 10^{12c}

To our knowledge, the late stages (beyond 50% completio[n\)](#page-0-0) of the catalytic cycle of cyclic seleni[nat](#page-6-0)e esters have not yet been explored. When the assay of 10 was conducted at a higher temperature (24 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$ instead of 18 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$) and monitored beyond 50% completion, the concentration of disulfide decreased dramatically, as shown in the Supporting Information. This indicated that, in addition to partial deactivation of the catalyst through selenenyl sulfide form[ation, further oxidation o](#page-5-0)f the disulfide was also taking place. Confirmation of this hypothesis was provided by the identification of substantial new HPLC peaks attributed to the thiolsulfinate 31 and benzaldehyde (Scheme 4). Trace amounts of benzyl sulfonic acid (32) and methyl benzylsulfinate (35) were also detected. The identification of these compounds was verified by analysis of the latestage reaction by HPLC−HRMS and comparison with the retention times and mass spectra of authentic samples of the four new products (see the Supporting Information).

Scheme 4

Since cyclic seleninate esters are known to catalyze the oxidation of sulfides to sulfoxides with hydrogen peroxide,²⁶ it is reasonable to expect that a similar process produces 31 from dibenzyl disulfide in the later stages of the reaction, wher[e th](#page-6-0)e disulfide is abundant and competes with the more reactive, but now depleted, thiol. Furthermore, thiolsulfinate 31 is known to generate, inter alia, the corresponding sulfinic acid and sulfine 33 upon further oxidation.^{27,28} The presence of benzyl sulfinic acid could not be confirmed in the present reaction mixture, but its further oxidation [to th](#page-6-0)e observed sulfonic acid 32 is plausible. Sulfines, in turn, are known to hydrolyze, $27,29,30$ or rearrange to oxathiiranes, followed by extrusion of sulfur, $29,31$ to produce carbonyl compounds, thus providing a ration[ale for](#page-6-0) the formation of benzaldehyde. Methanolysis of 31 or 33 [a](#page-6-0)ff[or](#page-7-0)ds the sulfinate ester 35 (Scheme 4).

In conclusion, the most effective substitution pattern in the methoxy-substituted series of [ca](#page-2-0)talysts for the oxidation of benzyl thiol with hydrogen peroxide is the single p-methoxy substituent in 1b and neither the introduction of lone methoxy groups at the ortho or meta positions (relative to selenium) nor their introduction in addition to an existing p-methoxy group conferred further significant improvements in catalytic activity. The presence of the *o*-methoxy group in compounds 8 and 10 proved especially deleterious, despite the expected enhancement of catalytic activity through electron donation to selenium through resonance. We attribute this behavior to steric suppression of the rate-limiting oxidation of $Se(II)$ intermediates to $Se(IV)$, along with enhanced formation of the less catalytically active selenenyl sulfide 30. It was also found that, in the case of the o,p -dimethoxy compound 10, significant further oxidation of the product dibenzyl disulfide occurred in later stages of the catalytic process, as evidenced by the formation of the corresponding thiolsulfinate 31 and benzaldehyde, along with traces of benzyl sulfonic acid and the methyl sulfinate 35.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Hydrogen peroxide was titrated prior to use, 32 and benzyl thiol was redistilled. Starting materials 16, 17, and 24 are commercially available but were also prepared by bromination of t[he](#page-7-0) corresponding benzyl alcohols by standard methods. Authentic samples of thiolsulfinate $31,^{33,34}$ sulfonic acid $32,^{35}$ and sulfinate ester 35^{36} were prepared by literature methods.

[Spec](#page-7-0)troscopic Exp[erim](#page-7-0)ents. ¹H NMR spec[tra](#page-7-0) were recorded at 400 MHz, while 13 C and 77 Se NMR spectra were obtained at 101 and 76 MHz, respectively. Chemical shifts of 77 Se NMR spectra were obtained with diphenyl diselenide in CDCl₃ (463.0 ppm)³⁷ as the standard, relative to dimethyl selenide (0.0 ppm). High-resolution mass spectra were obtained using a time-of-flight (TOF) ana[lyz](#page-7-0)er with electron impact (EI) ionization or a quadrupole TOF analyzer with electrospray ionization (ESI).

HPLC Assay for Catalytic Activity. Catalytic activity was measured by adding the catalyst (0.031 mmol, 10 mol % relative to thiol) to a mixture of hydrogen peroxide (0.035 M) and redistilled benzyl thiol (0.031 M) in 10.0 mL of dichloromethane−methanol (95:5) while maintaining the temperature at 18 $^{\circ}$ C. The reactions were monitored by HPLC analysis, using a UV detector at 254 nm and a reversed phase column (Novapak C18; 3.9 × 150 mm). Acetonitrile− water was employed as the solvent (gradient: 60:40 to 80:20 over 15 min with a flow rate of 0.9 mL/min). Naphthalene (0.0080 M) was employed as the internal standard. The values of $t_{1/2}$ were determined by plotting the yield (%) of the product disulfide vs time and represent the time required for conversion of 50% of the thiol into its disulfide. The $t_{1/2}$ values are the average of at least two runs.

HPLC−MS Analysis. The same HPLC column and solvent system as above were employed, except that the gradient was altered as required to better separate individual peaks for exact mass measurement. The mass spectra were obtained by ESI with a quadrupole TOF analyzer.

(2-{[2-(Hydroxymethyl)-5-methoxyphenyl]diselanyl}-4 methoxyphenyl)methanol (14). A solution of N,N,N′-trimethylethylenediamine (0.840 mL, 660 mg, 6.46 mmol) in 20 mL of dry THF was cooled to −20 °C under nitrogen, and n-butyllithium (2.6 mL, 2.4 M, 6.2 mmol) was added. After 15 min, 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (0.73 mL, 0.82 g, 6.0 mmol) was added, and the mixture was left at −20 °C for an additional 15 min. A second portion of nbutyllithium (2.6 mL, 2.4 M 6.2 mmol) was then added, and the mixture was warmed to 0 °C and left for 1.5 h. Elemental selenium (553 mg, 7.00 mmol) was quickly introduced, and the nitrogen atmosphere was restored. The mixture was warmed to room temperature, stirred for an additional 3 h, and then poured into a mixture of 30 mL of 1 M HCl and 30 mL of ethyl acetate. Air was rapidly bubbled through the mixture for 30 min, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with brine, dried, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford crude diselenide 12 (1.27 g) as a red solid.

The above product was suspended in 10 mL of dry THF and added to a suspension of lithium aluminum hydride (750 mg, 19.8 mmol) in 40 mL of THF at 0 °C. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for an additional 4 h. It was then cooled to 0 °C and carefully quenched with water. Ethyl acetate was added, and the resulting slurry was filtered to remove the solid precipitate. The aqueous layer from the filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate, and the aqueous layer was stirred in the presence of air for 12 h. During this time, diselenide 14 crystallized as a yellow solid (595 mg, 46% overall); mp 101−102 °C (from ethyl acetate−hexanes); IR (ATR) 3301, 1602, 1468, 1245, 1009 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 7.27 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.26 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2 H), 6.80 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.8 Hz, 2 H), 4.70 (s, 4 H), 3.73 (s, 6 H), 1.88 (br s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR $(101 \text{ MHz}; \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 159.7, 134.2, 132.2, 129.9, 119.8, 114.7, 65.1, 55.5; ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 437.1; mass spectrum (EI-TOF) m/z (relative intensity) 434 (38, M⁺), 215 (68), 201 (70), 108 (100); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z (M⁺) calcd for C₁₆H₁₈O₄⁸⁰Se₂: 433.9536; found: 433.9548. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₈O₄Se₂: C, 44.46; H, 4.20; found: C, 44.50; H, 4.15.

(2-{[2-(Hydroxymethyl)-6-methoxyphenyl]diselanyl}-3 methoxyphenyl)methanol (15). The same procedure was employed as for the preparation of 14. Yield: 54% (overall). Yellow solid, mp 142−144 °C (from hexanes−ethyl acetate); IR (ATR) 3281, 1571, 1462, 1267, 1033 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 7.31 (t, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2 H), 7.05 (dd, $J = 7.6$, 1.2 Hz, 2 H), 6.81 (dd, $J = 8.4$, 0.80 Hz, 2 H), 4.62 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 4 H), 3.69 (s, 6 H), 2.24 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 160.3, 146.3, 131.3, 121.1, 119.6, 110.6, 65.8, 56.3; ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 355.5; mass spectrum (EI-TOF) m/z (relative intensity) 434 (48, M⁺), 216 (46), 200 (100), 108 (98); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z (M⁺) calcd for $C_{16}H_{18}O_4^{80}Se_2$: 433.9536; found: 433.9532. Anal. Calcd for C_{16} H₁₈O₄Se₂: C, 44.46; H, 4.20; found: C, 44.38; H, 4.32.

(2-{[2-(Hydroxymethyl)-4,5-dimethoxyphenyl]diselanyl}-4,5 dimethoxyphenyl)methanol (18). 2-Bromo-4,5-dimethoxybenzyl alcohol (3.13 g, 12.7 mmol) was dissolved in 130 mL of dry THF and cooled to −78 °C under nitrogen. n-Butyllithium (14.3 mL, 2.00 M, 28.6 mmol) was added, and the mixture was warmed to 0 $^{\circ}$ C over 45 min. Elemental selenium (2.25 g, 28.5 mmol) was added, and the nitrogen atmosphere was restored. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature and was then quenched with 80 mL of saturated ammonium chloride solution. Air was rapidly bubbled through the mixture for 30 min, and the mixture was washed with brine, dried, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was purified by flash chromatography (gradient of hexanes−ethyl acetate, 2:1 to 100% ethyl acetate) to afford 1.67 g (54%) of diselenide 18 as a yellow solid, mp 148−150 °C (from ethyl acetate); IR (ATR) 3349, 1584, 1494, 1259, 1213, 1150, 1032 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 7.01 (s, 2 H), 6.98 (s, 2 H), 4.60 (s, 4 H), 3.89 (s, 6 H), 3.76 (s, 6 H), 2.08 (br s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 150.6, 148.4, 137.2, 120.7, 120.0, 111.6, 65.3, 56.2, 56.1; ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 467.6; mass spectrum (EI-TOF) m/z (relative intensity) 494 (9, M⁺), 245

(20), 230 (24), 138 (100); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z (M⁺) calcd for $C_{18}H_{22}O_6^{80}Se_2Na$ (ESI, M + Na⁺): 516.9639; found: 516.9642.

(2-{[2-(Hydroxymethyl)-4,6-dimethoxyphenyl]diselanyl}-3,5 dimethoxyphenyl)methanol (19). 2-Bromo-3,5-dimethoxybenzyl alcohol (1.00 g, 4.05 mmol) was dissolved in 50 mL of dry THF and cooled to −78 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. n-Butyllithium (3.7 mL, 2.4 M, 8.9 mmol) was added, and the mixture was warmed to room temperature over 1 h. Elemental selenium (355 mg, 4.50 mmol) was added, and the nitrogen atmosphere was restored. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for an additional 3 h and was then quenched with 30 mL of saturated ammonium chloride solution. Air was rapidly bubbled through the mixture for 30 min, and the mixture was washed with brine, dried, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography (100% ethyl acetate) provided an inseparable mixture of diselenide 19 and the corresponding selenide in the ratio of ca. 3:1. This mixture was used in subsequent steps without further purification, as it was easier to separate the selenide at a later stage.

Typical Procedure for the Preparation of Allyl Aryl Selenides: [4-Methoxy-2-(prop-2-en-1-ylselanyl)phenyl] methanol (20). Diselenide 14 (200 mg, 0.463 mmol) was dissolved in 18 mL of THF−ethanol (5:1) and cooled to 0 °C. Sodium borohydride (122 mg, 3.22 mmol) was added, followed after 5 min by allyl iodide (0.145 mL, 266 mg, 1.58 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for an additional 3 h and was then quenched with 15 mL of water. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with brine, dried, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes−ethyl acetate, 2:1) to afford 215 mg (90%) of the product 20 as a colorless oil; IR (neat) 3438, 1600, 1476, 1233, 1038 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 7.26 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.06 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.76 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.97−5.87 (m, 1 H), 4.99 (ddt, J = 16.9, 2.6, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.96−4.93 (m, 1 H), 4.65 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2 H), 3.77 (s, 3 H), 3.49 (br d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.54 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H); 13 C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 159.1, 135.0, 134.2, 131.1, 129.6, 119.7, 117.3, 112.9, 64.8, 55.4, 30.8; ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 269.0; mass spectrum (EI-TOF) m/z (relative intensity) 258 (64, M⁺), 217 (96), 159 (30), 135 (36), 108 (100); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z (M⁺) calcd for $C_{11}H_{14}O_2^{80}$ Se: 258.0159; found: 258.0160. Anal. Calcd for $C_{11}H_{14}O_2$ Se: C, 51.37; H, 5.49; found: C, 51.33; H, 5.63.

[3-Methoxy-2-(prop-2-en-1-ylselanyl)phenyl]methanol (21). Prepared from diselenide 15 by the same procedure as for 20. Yield: 86%. Colorless oil; IR (neat) 3462, 1633, 1567, 1471, 1248, 1176 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl3) δ 7.30 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.03 (dd, J = 7.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.84 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.90–5.79 (m, 1 H), $4.84-4.82$ (m, 1 H), 4.79 (br s, 1 H), 4.77 (d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 2 H), 3.90 (s, 3 H), 3.48 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2 H), 2.50 (t, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 1 H); 13 C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 160.1, 146.1, 134.9, 130.0, 121.1, 117.0, 116.5, 110.4, 66.1, 56.2, 30.0; ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 178.4; mass spectrum (EI-TOF) m/z (relative intensity) 258 (100, M⁺), 217 (72), 199 (32), 108 (90); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z (M⁺) calcd for $C_{11}H_{14}O_2^{80}$ Se: 258.0159; found: 258.0157. Anal. Calcd for $C_{11}H_{14}O_2$ Se: C, 51.37; H, 5.49; found: C, 51.39; H, 5.50.

[4,5-Dimethoxy-2-(prop-2-en-1-ylselanyl)phenyl]methanol (22). Prepared from diselenide 18 by the same procedure as for 20. Yield: 79%. Brown oil; IR (neat) 3490, 1602, 1498, 1267, 1148, 1036 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 7.04 (s, 1 H), 6.94 (s, 1 H), 5.92−5.81 (m, 1 H), 4.87−4.79 (m, 2 H), 4.69 (s, 2 H), 3.83 (s, 3 H), 3.82 (s, 3 H), 3.36 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.54 (br s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR $(101 \text{ MHz}; \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 149.3, 148.1, 137.0, 134.6, 119.5, 118.9, 116.8, 111.6, 65.3, 56.1, 55.9, 31.9; ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 273.1; mass spectrum (EI-TOF) m/z (relative intensity) 288 (27, M⁺), 247 (24), 138 (100); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z (M⁺) calcd for $C_{12}H_{16}O_3^{80}$ Se: 288.0265; found: 288.0275.

[3,5-Dimethoxy-2-(prop-2-en-1-ylselanyl)phenyl]methanol (23). Prepared from diselenide 19 by the same procedure as for 20. Yield: 51% overall from benzyl alcohol 17. Colorless oil; IR (neat) 3452, 1590, 1462, 1152, 1067 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 6.63 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.42 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.92−5.78 (m, 1 H), $4.83-4.75$ (m, 4 H), 3.88 (s, 3 H), 3.83 (s, 3 H), 3.40 (d, $J = 10.4$ Hz, 2 H), 2.38 (t, J = 9.2 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 161.5, 161.1, 147.2, 134.9, 116.3, 107.4, 105.0, 98.0, 66.1, 56.1, 55.4, 30.1; ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 162.6; mass spectrum (EI-TOF) ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 162.6; mass spectrum (EI-TOF) m/z (relative intensity) 288 (52, M⁺), 247 (22), 166 (100), 138 (78); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z (M⁺) calcd for $C_{12}H_{16}O_3^{80}$ Se: 288.0265; found: 288.0262.

During chromatography of allyl selenide 23, 50 mg of the corresponding symmetrical aryl selenide analogue of 19 was also isolated as a byproduct from the preparation of 19. Colorless solid, mp 159−161 °C (from ethyl acetate); IR (ATR) 3252, 1586, 1462, 1310, 1157 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 6.62 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2 H), 6.33 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2 H), 4.84 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 4 H), 3.80 (s, 6 H), 3.63 $(s, 6 H)$, 3.31 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 161.2, 160.5, 146.2, 110.0, 106.4, 98.8, 66.4, 56.2, 55.5; ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 122.8; mass spectrum (EI-TOF) m/z (relative intensity) 414 (46, M⁺), 246 (44), 230 (30), 165 (100), 139 (64); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z (M⁺) calcd for $C_{18}H_{22}O_6^{80}$ Se: 414.0582; found: 414.0598.

Typical Procedure for the Preparation of Cyclic Seleninate Esters: 6-Methoxy-3H-benzo[c]-1,2-oxaselenole-1-oxide (7). Allyl selenide 20 (186 mg, 0.723 mmol) and 29% H_2O_2 (0.260 mL, 2.2 mmol) were stirred in 10 mL of dichloromethane for 16 h. The solution was concentrated, and the resulting mixture was purified by flash chromatography (ethyl acetate-methanol, 9:1) to afford 137 mg (81%) of the cyclic seleninate ester 7 as a colorless solid, mp 148−149 $^{\circ}$ C (from ethyl acetate-methanol); IR (ATR) 1598, 1472, 1257, 1230, 1023 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl3) δ 7.35 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.25 (d, $J = 2.4$ Hz, 1 H), 7.10 (dd, $J = 8.4$, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.90 (d, $J =$ 12.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.56 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.81 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 160.5, 149.3, 135.2, 123.4, 119.9, 108.9, 78.4, 55.9; ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 1348.5; mass spectrum (EI-TOF) m/z (relative intensity) 232 (38, M⁺), 215 (24), 136 (100), 108 (46); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z (M⁺) calcd for $C_8H_8O_3^{80}$ Se: 231.9639; found: 231.9642. Anal. Calcd for $C_8H_8O_3Se$: C, 41.58; H, 3.49; found: C, 41.40; H, 3.35.

7-Methoxy-3H-benzo[c]-1,2-oxaselenole-1-oxide (8). Prepared from allyl selenide 21 by the same procedure as for 7. Yield: 85%. Colorless solid; mp 156−157 °C (from ethyl acetate−methanol); IR (ATR) 1567, 1468, 1275, 1068, 964 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 7.50 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.99 (dd, J = 7.6 Hz, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.95 (d, J = 14.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.58 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.91 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 157.4, 146.3, 136.3, 134.7, 114.5, 110.4, 79.2, 56.2; ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 1341.7; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z (M + H)⁺ calcd for C₈H₉O₃⁸⁰Se: 232.9717; found: 232.9712. Anal. Calcd for $C_8H_8O_3Se$: C, 41.58; H, 3.49; found: C, 41.66; H, 3.38.

5,6-Dimethoxy-3H-benzo[c]-1,2-oxaselenole-1-oxide (9). Prepared from allyl selenide 22 by the same procedure as for 7. Yield: 87%. Colorless solid; mp 168−169 °C (from ethyl acetate− methanol); IR (film) 1576, 1497, 1280, 1215, 1030 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}; \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 7.23 (s, 1 H), 6.86 (s, 1 H), 5.89 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.52 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.91 (s, 3 H), 3.86 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 152.8, 150.1, 139.0, 137.0, 107.0, 104.2, 78.7, 56.37, 56.35; 77 Se NMR (76 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 1355.9; mass spectrum (EI-TOF) m/z (relative intensity) 262 (6, M⁺), 166 (100), 138 (26); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z (M + H)⁺ calcd for C₉H₁₁O₄⁸⁰Se: 262.9818; found: 262.9819. Anal. Calcd for C₉H₁₀O₄Se: C, 41.40; H, 3.86; found: C, 41.22; H, 4.10.

5,7-Dimethoxy-3H-benzo[c]-1,2-oxaselenole-1-oxide (10). Prepared from allyl selenide 23 by the same procedure as for 7. Yield: 89%. Colorless solid; mp 149−151 °C (from ethyl acetate− methanol); IR (ATR) 1590, 1467, 1352, 1224, 1157 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}; \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 6.46 $(d, J = 2.0 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H})$, 6.41 $(d, J = 1.6 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ Hz})$ H), 5.90 (d, $J = 13.6$ Hz, 1 H), 5.50 (d, $J = 14.0$ Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (s, 3 H), 3.84 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 165.6, 158.5, 148.1, 128.6, 98.7, 98.2, 78.9, 56.2, 56.1; ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 1355.1; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z (M + H)⁺ calcd for C₉H₁₁O₄⁸⁰Se: 262.9818; found: 262.9814. Anal. Calcd for C₉H₁₀O₄Se: C, 41.40; H, 3.86; found: C, 41.57; H, 3.81.

2-Bromo-3,4,5-trimethoxy-1-[(methoxymethoxy)methyl] benzene (25). 2-Bromo-3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl alcohol (800 mg, 2.89 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL of dichloromethane. Diisopropylethylamine (0.610 mL, 453 mg, 3.50 mmol) was added, followed by chloromethyl methyl ether (0.265 mL, 281 mg, 3.47 mmol). After 14 h of stirring at room temperature, the mixture was poured into 20 mL of water. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes−ethyl acetate, 1:1) to afford 845 mg (91%) of the MOM-protected alcohol 25 as a colorless oil; IR (neat) 1576, 1481, 1324, 1152 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 6.87 (s, 1 H), 4.75 (s, 2 H), 4.61 (s, 2 H), 3.88 (s, 3 H), 3.864 (s, 3 H), 3.861 (s, 3 H), 3.43 (s, 3 H); 13C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 152.9, 151.0, 142.6, 133.0, 109.1, 108.2, 96.3, 69.1, 61.2, 61.1, 56.3, 55.7; mass spectrum (EI-TOF) m/z (relative intensity) 320 (68, M⁺), 259 (84), 181 (100); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z (M⁺) calcd for $C_{12}H_{17}^{79}BrO_5$: 320.0259; found: 320.0261. Anal. Calcd for $C_{12}H_{17}BrO_5$: C, 44.88; H, 5.34; found: C, 44.60; H, 5.15.

1,2,3-Trimethoxy-5-[(methoxymethoxy)methyl]-4-({2,3,4-trimethoxy-6-[(methoxymethoxy)methyl]phenyl}diselanyl) benzene (26). Aryl bromide 25 (1.30 g, 4.69 mmol) was dissolved in 40 mL of dry THF and cooled to −78 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. n-Butyllithium (3.0 mL, 1.9 M, 5.7 mmol) was added, and the mixture was warmed to 0 °C over 1.5 h. Elemental selenium (450 mg, 5.70 mmol) was quickly introduced, and the nitrogen atmosphere was restored. The mixture was warmed to room temperature, left for an additional 3 h, and then quenched with 40 mL of saturated ammonium chloride solution. Air was rapidly bubbled through the mixture for 30 min, and the mixture was washed with brine, dried, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes−ethyl acetate, 1:2) to afford 850 mg (58%) of the product 26 as a yellow oil, which was used in subsequent steps immediately.

1,2,3-Trimethoxy-5-[(methoxymethoxy)methyl]-4-(prop-2 en-1-ylselanyl)benzene (27). Prepared from diselenide 26 by the same procedure as for 20. Yield: 72%. Colorless oil; IR (neat) 1586, 1476, 1324, 1095 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 6.87 (s, 1 H), 5.92−5.81 (m, 1 H), 4.84 (d, J = 16.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.80 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.742 (s, 2 H), 4.736 (s, 2 H), 3.93 (s, 3 H), 3.88 (s, 3 H), 3.87 (s, 3 H), 3.46−3.44 (m, 2 H), 3.44 (s, 3 H); 13C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 155.3, 154.1, 142.0, 137.8, 135.0, 116.3, 115.3, 108.1, 96.3, 70.4, 61.1, 61.0, 56.2, 55.7, 31.0; ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 197.7; mass spectrum (EI-TOF) m/z (relative intensity) 362 (80, M^+), 289 (100), 259 (25); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z (M⁺) calcd for $C_{15}H_{22}O_5{}^{80}$ Se: 362.0632; found: 362.0623.

[3,4,5-Trimethoxy-2-(prop-2-en-1-ylselanyl)phenyl] methanol (28). Allyl selenide 27 (147 mg, 0.408 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of methanol. Concentrated HCl (6 drops) was added, and the mixture was heated at 60 °C. After 5 h, the methanol solution was poured into 5 mL of saturated sodium bicarbonate solution. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with brine, dried, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes−ethyl acetate, 1:2) to afford 97 mg (75%) of the free alcohol 28 as a slightly yellow oil; IR (neat) 3424, 2929, 1590, 1481, 1319, 1100 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 6.80 (s, 1 H), 5.91–5.80 (m, 1 H), 4.85–4.82 (m, 1 H), 4.80 (m, 1 H), 4.72 (br s, 2 H), 3.92 (s, 3 H), 3.87 (s, 3 H), 3.85 (s, 3 H), 3.45 (br d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2 H), 2.48 (br s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 155.3, 154.2, 141.8, 140.7, 134.9, 116.7, 113.9, 107.9, 66.1, 61.2, 61.0, 56.1, 30.9; ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 194.4; mass spectrum (EI-TOF) m/z (relative intensity) 318 (54, M⁺), 277 (44), 196 (30), 168 (100), 153 (34); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z (M⁺) calcd for $C_{13}H_{18}O_4^{80}$ Se: 318.0370; found: 318.0364.

5,6,7-Trimethoxy-3H-benzo[c]-1,2-oxaselenole-1-oxide (11). Allyl selenide 28 (88 mg, 0.28 mmol) and 33% H_2O_2 (0.057 mL, 0.56 mmol) were stirred in 5 mL of dichloromethane at room temperature for 6 h. The mixture was concentrated, and the resulting solid was purified by flash chromatography (ethyl acetate−methanol, 9:1) to afford 64 mg (80%) of the cyclic seleninate ester 11, mp 160−161 °C

(from ethyl acetate−methanol); IR (ATR) 1576, 1460, 1340, 1104 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 6.62 (s, 1 H), 5.91 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.53 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.08 (s, 3 H), 3.90 (s, 3 H), 3.86 $(s, 3 H)$; ¹³C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 158.6, 150.6, 140.8, 140.4, 133.0, 99.8, 79.3, 61.9, 61.3, 56.6; ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 1360.5; mass spectrum (EI-TOF) m/z (relative intensity) 292 (20, M⁺), 276 (12), 196 (100); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z (M⁺) calcd for $C_{10}H_{12}O_5^{80}$ Se: 291.9850; found: 291.9855. Anal. Calcd for $C_{10}H_{12}O_5$ Se: C, 41.25; H, 4.15; found: C, 41.31; H, 4.07.

{2-[(Benzylsulfanyl)selanyl]-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl}methanol (30). Seleninate ester 10 (131 mg, 0.502 mmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (0.03 M) and cooled to 0 °C. Benzyl thiol (0.18 mL, 1.5 mmol) was added, and the solution was stirred for 1 h, concentrated in vacuo, and immediately chromatographed (hexanes−ethyl acetate, 6:1) to give 171 mg (92%) of 30 as a yellow solid: mp 81−83 °C; IR (film) 3385, 1569, 1449, 1379, 1260, 1110 cm^{−1}; ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 7.29−7.21 (m, 5 H), 6.69 (d, J $= 2.4$ Hz, 1 H), 6.42 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.86 (s, 2 H), 4.11 (s, 2 H), 3.87 (s, 3 H), 3.84 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 162.7, 161.6, 147.6, 138.7, 129.2, 128.7, 127.5, 105.5, 98.2, 66.3, 56.3, 55.7, 42.7; ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 341.1; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z $(M + H)^+$ calcd for $C_{16}H_{19}O_3S^{80}$ Se: 371.0215; found; 371.0208. Anal.

Calcd for $C_{16}H_{18}O_3S$ Se: C, 52.03; H, 4.91; found: C, 52.00; H, 4.73.
{[**Benzylsulfanyl]sulfinyl]methyl]benzene (31).**³³ The product was obtained by the method of Pratt et al.^{33a} in 55% yield: white solid; [m](#page-7-0)p 79−81 °C (from ether) (lit.^{33c,e} mp 130 °C; lit.^{33d} mp 86 °C;); IR (film) ; 3019, 1448, 1081, 1052 cm⁻¹; ¹H [NM](#page-7-0)R (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 7.36−7.23 (m, 10 H), 4.32 (d, J [= 1](#page-7-0)2.9 Hz, 1 H), 4[.28](#page-7-0) (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.26 (d, J = 12.9 Hz, 1 H); 4.24 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR $(101 \text{ MHz}; \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 136.8, 130.6, 130.2, 129.3, 129.0, 128.9, 128.0, 62.4, 36.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z (M + H)⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₁₅OS₂: 263.0559; found: 263.0560. Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₄OS₂: C, 64.08; H, 5.38; found: C, 64.10; H, 5.42.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

 ${}^{1}H$, ${}^{13}C$, and ${}^{77}Se$ NMR spectra for new compounds and for thiolsulfinate 31; kinetic plots for assays of compounds 7−11 and 30; and HPLC and HRMS data for the assay of compound 10. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ [AUTHOR INF](http://pubs.acs.org)ORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: tgback@ucalgary.ca (T.G.B.).

Notes

The auth[ors declare no com](mailto:tgback@ucalgary.ca)peting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) for financial support. D.J.P. and N.M.R.M. thank NSERC and Alberta Innovates-Technology Futures (AI-TF) for postgraduate scholarships. M.H. acknowledges receipt of an NSERC Undergraduate Student Research Assistantship.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Rotruck, J. T.; Pope, A. L.; Ganther, H. E.; Swanson, A. B.; Hafeman, D. G.; Hoekstra, W. G. Science 1973, 179, 588−590.

(2) Flohé, L.; Günzler, W. A.; Schock, H. H. FEBS Lett. 1973, 32, 132−134.

(3) (a) Arthur, J. R. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2000, 57, 1825−1835. (b) Brigelius-Flohé, R.; Maiorino, M. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2013, 1830, 3289–3303. (c) Brigelius-Flohé, R.; Kipp, A. P. *Ann. N. Y. Acad.* Sci. 2012, 1259, 19−25. (d) Stadtman, T. C. J. Biol. Chem. 1991, 266, 16257−16260. (e) Tappel, A. L. Curr. Top. Cell Regul. 1984, 24, 87−

97. (f) Flohé, L. Curr. Top. Cell Regul. 1985, 27, 473–478. (g) Ganther, H. E. Chem. Scr. 1975, 8a, 79−84.

(4) Epp, O.; Ladenstein, R.; Wendel, A. Eur. J. Biochem. 1983, 133, 51−69.

(5) (a) Nogueira, C. W.; Rocha, J. B. T. In Organic Selenium and Tellurium Compounds; Rappoport, Z., Ed.; Wiley: Chichester, U.K., 2012; Vol. 3, Part II, Chapter 21. (b) Masukawa, T. In The Chemistry of Organoselenium and Tellurium Compounds; Patai, S., Ed.; Wiley: Chichester, U.K., 1987; Vol. 2, Chapter 9. (c) Brenneisen, P.; Steinbrenner, H.; Sies, H. Mol. Aspects Med. 2005, 26, 256−267. (d) Sies, H., Ed. Oxidative Stress; Academic Press: London, 1985. (e) Rice-Evans, C., Halliwell, B., Lunt, G. G., Eds. Free Radicals and Oxidative Stress: Environment, Drugs and Food Additives; Portland Press: London, 1995. (f) Paoletti, R., Samuelsson, B., Catapano, A. L., Poli, A., Rinetti, M., Eds. Oxidative Processes and Antioxidants; Raven Press: New York, 1994. (g) Burk, R. F., Ed. Selenium in Biology and Human Health; Springer-Verlag: New York, 1994.

(6) (a) Crack, P. J.; Taylor, J. M.; de Haan, J. B.; Kola, I.; Hertzog, P.; Iannello, R. C. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 2003, 23, 19−22. (b) Wong, C. H. Y.; Bozinovski, S.; Hertzog, P. J.; Hickey, M. J.; Crack, P. J. J. Neurochem. 2008, 107, 241−252. (c) Dhalla, N. S.; Elmoselhi, A. B.; Hata, T.; Makino, N. Cardiovasc. Res. 2000, 47, 446−456. (d) Lim, C. C.; Bryan, N. S.; Jain, M.; Garcia-Saura, M. F.; Fernandez, B. O.; Sawyer, D. B.; Handy, D. E.; Loscalzo, J.; Feelisch, M.; Liao, R. Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol. 2009, 297, H2144−H2153. (e) Maulik, N.; Yoshida, T.; Das, D. K. Mol. Cell. Biochem. 1999, 196, 13−21. (f) Mužáková, V.; Kandár, R.; Vojtíšek, P.; Skalický, J.; Vaňková, R.; Čegan, A.; Červinková, Z. Physiol. Res. 2001, 50, 389–396. (g) Moutet, M.; D'Alessio, P.; Malette, P.; Devaux, V.; Chaudière, J. Free Radical Biol. Med. 1998, 25, 270−281.

(7) Ganther, H. E.; Kraus, R. J. In Methods in Enzymology; Colowick, S. P., Kaplan, N. O., Eds.; Academic Press: Orlando, FL, 1984; Vol. 107, pp 593−602.

(8) For reviews, see: (a) Day, B. J. Biochem. Pharmacol. 2009, 77, −296. (b) Bhabak, K. P.; Mugesh, G. Acc. Chem. Res. 2010, 43, −1419. (c) Mugesh, G.; Singh, H. B. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2000, 29, −357. (d) Mugesh, G.; du Mont, W.-W.; Sies, H. Chem. Rev. 2001, , 2125−2179.

(9) For selected studies of ebselen, see: (a) Müller, A.; Cadenas, E.; Graf, P.; Sies, H. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1984, 33, 3235−3239. (b) Wendel, A.; Fausel, M.; Safayhi, H.; Tiegs, G.; Otter, R. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1984, 33, 3241−3245. (c) Parnham, M. J.; Kindt, S. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1984, 33, 3247−3250. (d) Müller, A.; Gabriel, H.; Sies, H. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1985, 34, 1185−1189. (e) Safayhi, H.; Tiegs, G.; Wendel, A. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1985, 34, 2691−2694. (f) Wendel, A.; Tiegs, G. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1986, 35, 2115−2118. (g) Fischer, H.; Dereu, N. Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 1987, 96, 757−768. (h) Haenen, G. R. M. M.; De Rooij, B. M.; Vermeulen, N. P. E.; Bast, A. Mol. Pharmacol. 1990, 37, 412−422. (i) Glass, R. S.; Farooqui, F.; Sabahi, M.; Ehler, K. W. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 1092−1097. (j) Bhabak, K. P.; Mugesh, G. Chem.—Eur. J. 2007, 13, 4594–4601. (k) Sarma, B. K.; Mugesh, G. Chem.-Eur. J. 2008, 14, 10603-10614. (l) Bhabak, K. P.; Vernekar, A. A.; Jakka, S. R.; Roy, G.; Mugesh, G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 5193−5200. (m) Selvakumar, K.; Shah, P.; Singh, H. B.; Butcher, R. J. Chem.—Eur. J. 2011, 17, 12741−12755. (n) Sarma, B. K.; Mugesh, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 11477− 11485.

(10) For studies of ALT2074 (formerly identified as BXT51072) and related selenazinones, see: (a) Jacquemin, P. V.; Christiaens, L. E.; Renson, M.; Evers, M. J.; Dereu, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 3863− 3866. (b) Asaf, R.; Blum, S.; Miller-Lotan, R.; Levy, A. P. Lett. Drug Des. Discovery 2007, 4, 160–162. (c) Castagné, V.; Clarke, P. G. H. J. Neurosci. Res. 2000, 59, 497−503.

(11) (a) Back, T. G.; Moussa, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 12104−12105. (b) Back, T. G.; Moussa, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 13455−13460. (c) Back, T. G.; Kuzma, D.; Parvez, M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 9230−9236. (d) Press, D. J.; Mercier, E. A.; Kuzma, D.; Back, T. G. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 4252−4255. (e) McNeil, N. M. R.; Matz, M. C.; Back, T. G. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 10369−10382.

(12) (a) Tripathi, S. K.; Patel, U.; Roy, D.; Sunoj, R. B.; Singh, H. B.; Wolmershäuser, G.; Butcher, R. J. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 9237-9247. (b) Tripathi, S. K.; Sharma, S.; Singh, H. B.; Butcher, R. J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 581−587. (c) Singh, V. P.; Singh, H. B.; Butcher, R. J. Chem.-Asian J. 2011, 6, 1431-1442.

(13) Bayse, C. A.; Ortwine, K. N. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2013, 3680− 3688.

(14) For a discussion of these effects, see: Sykes, P. A Guidebook to Mechanism in Organic Chemistry, 6th ed.; Pearson Prentice-Hall: Harlow, U.K., 1986; Chapter 13.

(15) (a) Bhabak, K. P.; Mugesh, G. Chem.-Eur. J. 2008, 14, 8640-8651. (b) Bhowmick, D.; Mugesh, G. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 10550− 10560.

(16) Wirth, T. Molecules 1998, 3, 164−166.

(17) For a review of the effects of intramolecular coordination on the reactivity of selenium compounds, see: (a) Mukherjee, A. J.; Zade, S. S.; Singh, H. B.; Sunoj, R. B. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 4357−4416. For key references, see: (b) Mugesh, G.; Panda, A.; Singh, H. B.; Punekar, N. S.; Butcher, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 839−850. (c) Iwaoka, M.; Komatsu, H.; Katsuda, T.; Tomoda, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5309−5317. (d) Iwaoka, M.; Tomoda, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 2557−2561.

(18) Comins, D. L.; Brown, J. D. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 1078−1083.

(19) Back, T. G.; Moussa, Z.; Parvez, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1268−1270.

(20) Press, D. J.; McNeil, N. M. R.; Rauk, A.; Back, T. G. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 9268−9276.

(21) In the present cyclic seleninates, the AB quartets persisted up to 377 K in DMSO- d_6 and remained unchanged in the presence of D_2O , D₂O−TFA, and D₂O−KOH. Thus, unlike the spirodioxyselenuranes, the chemical shifts of cyclic seleninate esters do not show any significant temperature dependence. Furthermore, exchange processes such as pyramidal inversion at selenium or reversible hydrate formation of the $Se=O$ bond must be relatively slow on the NMR time scale. The variable-temperature ¹H NMR spectra of seleninate 1a are shown in the Supporting Information.

(22) Back, T. G.; Dyck, B. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 2079− 2083.

(23) The $t_{1/2}$ of 10 was diffi[cult to measu](#page-5-0)re accurately because of the anomalous kinetics at around the 50% completion stage of the reaction. The indicated value of 55 h in Table 1 was the average of seven runs with individual values ranging between 43 and 65 h.

(24) HPLC measurements indicated that conversion of the catalyst 10 to the selenenyl sulfide 30 was 39% complet[e](#page-2-0) after 0.5 h and 89% complete after 27 h.

(25) Most of the cyclic seleninate esters that we have investigated to date have produced linear kinetic plots to at least the 50% completion point, whereas 1a showed linear behavior to at least 70% completion. However, in some cases, we have noticed that the increase in disulfide concentration slowed considerably, well before completion (e.g., see ref 11e). This can be attributed to the accumulation of the corresponding selenenyl sulfides, which are generally poorer catalysts than the seleninate esters (refs 11b and 11c).

(26) Mercier, E. A.; Smith, C. D.; Parvez, M.; Back, T. G. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 3508−3517.

(27) Freeman, F.; Angeletakis, C. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 4039−4049.

(28) A reviewer has suggested the possibility that the benzyl thiol is first oxidized to the sulfenic acid (BnSOH) and then to the sulfonic acid 32 via the corresponding sulfinic acid and that the sulfenic acid could also be the precursor of the thiolsulfinate 31. Although we cannot rule out the formation of 32 in this way unequivocally, it is likely that the sulfenic acid, if produced in this manner, would react rapidly with additional thiol to produce dibenzyl disulfide, which could then be oxidized to 31 in a subsequent step as shown in Scheme 4.

(29) For a review of sulfines, see: Zwanenburg, B. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1982, 101, 1−27.

(30) Strating, J.; Thijs, L.; Zwanenburg, B. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-[Ba](#page-2-0)s 1967, 86, 641−650.

(31) Snyder, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 5005−5007.

(32) Hydrogen peroxide was titrated by the procedure described in: Jeffery, G. H., Bassett, J., Mendham, J., Denney, R. C., Eds. Vogel's Textbook of Quantitative Chemical Analysis, 5th ed.; Wiley: New York, 1989; pp 372−373.

(33) (a) Lynett, P. T.; Butts, K.; Vaidya, V.; Garrett, G. E.; Pratt, D. A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 3320−3330. (b) Freeman, F.; Angeletakis, C. N.; Maricich, T. J. Org. Magn. Reson. 1981, 17, 53− 58. (c) Stensaas, K. L.; Brownell, A. S.; Ahuja, S.; Harriss, J. K.; Herman, S. R. J. Sulfur Chem. 2008, 29, 433−443. (d) Bretschneider, H.; Klotzer, W. Monatsh. Chem. 1950, 81, 589−597. (e) Hayashi, S.; Furukawa, M.; Yamamoto, J.; Hamamura, K. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1967, 15, 1310−1314.

 (34) Several literature reports indicate that the ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of 31 contains singlets for one (ref 33a) or both (ref 33c) of the methylene groups. Our spectrum shows diastereotopic hydrogens on both methylene groups and matches most closely the spectrum reported by Freeman et al. (ref 33b). The NMR spectrum is apparently highly sensitive to solvent effects (ref 33c). In view of these discrepancies, we are providing full characterization data for this compound in the Experimental Section and copies of our ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra in the Supporting Information.

(35) Bravo, A.; [Dordi, B.; Fontana, F.;](#page-3-0) Minisci, F. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 3232−3234.

(36) Brownbridge, [P.; Jowett, I. C.](#page-5-0) Synthesis 1988, 252−254.

(37) Duddeck, H. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 1995, 27, 1− 323.